

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP		Date
TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)		Initials Date
1. EO/DDA		<i>[Signature]</i> 17 MAY 1983
2. A/DDA		<i>[Signature]</i> 10 MAY 1983
3. DDA		<i>[Signature]</i> 23 MAY 1983
4.		
5.		

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

1-3: Keg has been changed for your signature — C/Rcd has provided his rationale for initially using DDCI signature. I would argue that since the DDCI has approved the draft, he need not read it all in final (over)

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)	Room No.—Bldg.
EO	Phone No.

5041-102

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)  
Prescribed by GSA  
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

\* GPO : 1981 O - 341-529 (120)

P.S. Suggest you  
mention this to the Ddel  
merely to ensure that he  
doesn't want to review  
again.

DD/A REGISTRY

1-2

16 MAY 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Officer, Directorate of Administration

FROM:

[redacted]  
Chief, Regulations Control Division

STAT

SUBJECT:

Proposed [redacted] Senior Intelligence Service (Job #9907)

STAT

STAT

1. In response to your note, [redacted] Senior Intelligence Service, has been prepared for the DDA's approval as requested.

STAT

2. As you know, RCD is guided by certain criteria when preparing an issuance for DCI or DDCI signature. If statutory authority has not been delegated previously by the DCI/DDCI then one or the other must sign a regulation; also, if the DCI or DDCI has been actively involved in the drafting of a regulation or notice, RCD prepares the issuance for that person's signature. The DDCI has been involved with the Director of Personnel in the drafting stages of this regulation. Since the DDCI provides command directions to the SIS program, he has signed all SIS Notices (except No. 17 signed by the ExDir in October 1982 when the DDCI was away on TDY). This regulation was prepared by RCD for DDCI review and signature to provide him the opportunity to take one last look to ascertain if that which he approved in draft is contained herein and in the proper context.

3. Further, the DDCI issued and signed a notice [redacted] in December 1982 dealing with Performance Appraisal. In paragraph 5, the DDCI pointed out that the DCI had asked the Director of Personnel to revise current regulatory requirements [redacted] to contain specific numeric ratings for eligibility for awards, Senior Service School nominations, etc. The Inspector General and others when reviewing the earlier draft of [redacted] stated that these specific numeric ratings sought by the DCI should be incorporated promptly into this regulation to be in consonance with the DDCI's Notice for stricter performance appraisals. The response and wording produced by Office of Personnel did not provide specific numeric ratings but instead used the description of numeric ratings (see page 6). OGC during its review for legal concurrence, questioned Office of Personnel on this variance from the DCI's directive and was informed that the wording was acceptable to the ExDir. Two other components also had the same comment as OGC. The Inspector General did not offer any comment to the wording in the final draft.

STAT

STAT

STAT

4. Thus, it seemed for the various reasons cited above that the DDCI, who had a prominent role in the drafting of this regulation, might wish to review the final version when signing approval.

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

5. This is all by way of stating that a great deal of thought goes into any RCD recommendation requesting approval of an issuance. In the final analysis, however, we take our direction from the DDA and accordingly,  is forwarded for DDA approval.

STAT  
STAT

